Interview Summary	10/624,513	KAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Feben M. Haile	2616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Feben M. Haile</u> .	(3) <u>Andrew Harry</u> .		
(2) <u>Aung Moe</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 May 2008.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Tsunehara et al. (US 6,907,260)</u> , and Kuo et al. (US 6,542,718).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. •	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊠ t	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussion of references</u> provided further explanation to indicate the difference between (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no	s as applied to the claims, and ween the cited prior art and the dments which the examiner ag	Applicant's represent of the series of the s	<u>se<i>ntative</i></u> <u>d</u> . r the claims
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attache			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	/Aung S. Moe/ SPE 2616		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if requ	ired	

Application No.

Applicant(s)